



PROTEZIONE CIVILE  
Presidenza del Consiglio dei Ministri  
Dipartimento della Protezione Civile



CONFERENZA DELLE REGIONI E  
DELLE PROVINCE AUTONOME

Attuazione dell'articolo 11 della legge 24 giugno 2009, n.77

# MICROZONAZIONE SISMICA

## Livello 3

Regione Emilia-Romagna  
Comune di Mirabello



## Relazione Illustrativa – Allegato 3




### Verifica Potenziale di Liquefazione CPTU MS

Regione	Soggetto realizzatore	Data
Emilia-Romagna	Geotema S.r.l.	30/09/2016

## LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT

**Project title :**
**CPTU1 file : 038016P295CPTU295.xls**
**Location :**
**Input parameters and analysis data**

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude $M_w$ :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	No	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	$K_0$ applied:	Yes		




Zone A<sub>1</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading

Zone A<sub>2</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry

Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening

Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

## CPT basic interpretation plots



## **Input parameters and analysis data**

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)
Points to test:	Based on Ic value
Earthquake magnitude $M_w$ :	6.14
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19
Depth to water table (in situ):	1.00 m


Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
Average results interval: 3  
Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
Use fill: No  
Fill height: N/A

Fill weight: N/A  
Transition detect. applied: No  
 $K_g$  applied: Yes  
Clay like behavior applied: Sands only  
Limit depth applied: No  
Limit depth: N/A

SBT legend

<span style="color: red;">█</span>	1. Sensitive fine grained	<span style="color: teal;">█</span>	4. Clayey silt to silty	<span style="color: orange;">█</span>	7. Gravely sand to sand
<span style="color: brown;">█</span>	2. Organic material	<span style="color: lightgreen;">█</span>	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	<span style="color: gray;">█</span>	8. Very stiff sand to
<span style="color: blue;">█</span>	3. Clay to silty clay	<span style="color: tan;">█</span>	6. Clean sand to silty sand	<span style="color: lightgray;">█</span>	9. Very stiff fine grained

### Liquefaction analysis overall plots



#### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (earthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight: N/A  
 Transition detect. applied: No  
 $K_0$  applied: Yes  
 Clay like behavior applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth applied: No  
 Limit depth: N/A

#### F.S. color scheme

- █ Almost certain it will liquefy
- █ Very likely to liquefy
- █ Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- █ Unlike to liquefy
- █ Almost certain it will not liquefy

#### LPI color scheme

- █ Very high risk
- █ High risk
- █ Low risk

## LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




**Project title :**

**CPTU2 file : 038016P296CPTU296.xls**






**Location :**

### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude $M_w$ :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	No	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	$K_0$ applied:	Yes		



Zone A<sub>1</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading  
 Zone A<sub>2</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry  
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening  
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

**CPT basic interpretation plots****Input parameters and analysis data**

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m


Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight:  
 Transition detect. applied: N/A  
 $K_0$  applied: No  
 Clay like behavior applied: Yes  
 Limit depth applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth: N/A

**SBT legend**

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

### Liquefaction analysis overall plots



#### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (earthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight: N/A  
 Transition detect. applied: No  
 $K_0$  applied: Yes  
 Clay like behavior applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth applied: No  
 Limit depth: N/A

#### F.S. color scheme

- █ Almost certain it will liquefy
- █ Very likely to liquefy
- █ Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- █ Unlike to liquefy
- █ Almost certain it will not liquefy

#### LPI color scheme

- █ Very high risk
- █ High risk
- █ Low risk

## LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




**Project title :**

**CPTU3 file : 038016P304CPTU304.xls**


**Location :**

### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude $M_w$ :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	No	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	$K_0$ applied:	Yes		



Zone A<sub>1</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading  
Zone A<sub>2</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry  
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening  
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

**CPT basic interpretation plots****Input parameters and analysis data**

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on  $I_c$  value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m


Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 $I_c$  cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight:  
 Transition detect. applied: N/A  
 $K_0$  applied: No  
 Clay like behavior applied: Yes  
 Limit depth applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth: N/A

**SBT legend**

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

### Liquefaction analysis overall plots


**Input parameters and analysis data**

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (earthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight: N/A  
 Transition detect. applied: No  
 $K_0$  applied: Yes  
 Clay like behavior applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth applied: No  
 Limit depth: N/A

**F.S. color scheme**

- █ Almost certain it will liquefy
- █ Very likely to liquefy
- █ Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- █ Unlike to liquefy
- █ Almost certain it will not liquefy

**LPI color scheme**

- █ Very high risk
- █ High risk
- █ Low risk

## LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




**Project title :**

**CPTU4 file : 038016P305CPTU305.xls**


**Location :**

### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude $M_w$ :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	No	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	$K_0$ applied:	Yes		



Zone A<sub>1</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading  
Zone A<sub>2</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry  
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening  
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

**CPT basic interpretation plots****Input parameters and analysis data**

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m


Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight:  
 Transition detect. applied: N/A  
 $K_0$  applied: No  
 Clay like behavior applied: Yes  
 Limit depth applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth: N/A

**SBT legend**

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

### Liquefaction analysis overall plots



#### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight: N/A  
 Transition detect. applied: No  
 $K_0$  applied: Yes  
 Clay like behavior applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth applied: No  
 Limit depth: N/A

#### F.S. color scheme

- Almost certain it will liquefy
- Very likely to liquefy
- Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- Unlike to liquefy
- Almost certain it will not liquefy

#### LPI color scheme

- Very high risk
- High risk
- Low risk

## LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




**Project title :**

**CPTU5 file : 038016P301CPTU301.xls**

**Location :**


### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude $M_w$ :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	No	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	$K_0$ applied:	Yes		



Zone A<sub>1</sub>: Liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading  
 Zone A<sub>2</sub>: Liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry  
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening  
 Zone C: Liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

CPT basic interpretation plots



## **Input parameters and analysis data**

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)
Points to test:	Based on Ic value
Earthquake magnitude $M_w$ :	6.14
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19
Depth to water table (in situ):	1.00 m


Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
Average results interval: 3  
Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
Use fill: No  
Fill height: N/A

Fill weight:	N/A
Transition detect. applied:	No
$K_g$ applied:	Yes
Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Limit depth applied:	No
Limit depth:	N/A

SBT legend

<span style="color: red;">█</span>	1. Sensitive fine grained	<span style="background-color: teal;">█</span>	4. Clayey silt to silty	<span style="background-color: orange;">█</span>	7. Gravely sand to sand
<span style="color: brown;">█</span>	2. Organic material	<span style="background-color: lightgreen;">█</span>	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	<span style="background-color: grey;">█</span>	8. Very stiff sand to
<span style="color: blue;">█</span>	3. Clay to silty clay	<span style="background-color: tan;">█</span>	6. Clean sand to silty sand	<span style="background-color: lightgrey;">█</span>	9. Very stiff fine grained

### Liquefaction analysis overall plots



#### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight:  
 Transition detect. applied: No  
 $K_0$  applied: Yes  
 Clay like behavior applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth applied: No  
 Limit depth: N/A

#### F.S. color scheme

- Almost certain it will liquefy
- Very likely to liquefy
- Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- Unlike to liquefy
- Almost certain it will not liquefy

#### LPI color scheme

- Very high risk
- High risk
- Low risk

## LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




**Project title :**

**CPTU6 file : 038016P297CPTU297.xls**


**Location :**

### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude $M_w$ :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	No	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	$K_0$ applied:	Yes		



Zone A<sub>1</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading  
Zone A<sub>2</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry  
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening  
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

**CPT basic interpretation plots****Input parameters and analysis data**

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m


Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight:  
 Transition detect. applied: N/A  
 $K_0$  applied: No  
 Clay like behavior applied: Yes  
 Limit depth applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth: N/A

**SBT legend**

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

### Liquefaction analysis overall plots



#### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (earthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight:  
 Transition detect. applied: No  
 $K_0$  applied: Yes  
 Clay like behavior applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth applied: No  
 Limit depth: N/A

#### F.S. color scheme

- Almost certain it will liquefy
- Very likely to liquefy
- Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- Unlike to liquefy
- Almost certain it will not liquefy

#### LPI color scheme

- Very high risk
- High risk
- Low risk

## LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




**Project title :**

**CPTU7 file : 038016P298CPTU298.xls**






**Location :**

### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude $M_w$ :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	No	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	$K_0$ applied:	Yes		



Zone A<sub>1</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading  
Zone A<sub>2</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry  
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening  
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

**CPT basic interpretation plots****Input parameters and analysis data**

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m


Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight:  
 Transition detect. applied: N/A  
 $K_0$  applied: Yes  
 Clay like behavior applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth applied: No  
 Limit depth: N/A

**SBT legend**

- |                           |                             |                            |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Sensitive fine grained | 4. Clayey silt to silty     | 7. Gravely sand to sand    |
| 2. Organic material       | 5. Silty sand to sandy silt | 8. Very stiff sand to      |
| 3. Clay to silty clay     | 6. Clean sand to silty sand | 9. Very stiff fine grained |

### Liquefaction analysis overall plots



#### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight: N/A  
 Transition detect. applied: No  
 $K_0$  applied: Yes  
 Clay like behavior applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth applied: No  
 Limit depth: N/A

#### F.S. color scheme

- Almost certain it will liquefy
- Very likely to liquefy
- Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- Unlike to liquefy
- Almost certain it will not liquefy

#### LPI color scheme

- Very high risk
- High risk
- Low risk

## LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




**Project title :**

**CPTU8 file : 038016P299CPTU299.xls**


**Location :**

### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude $M_w$ :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	No	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	$K_0$ applied:	Yes		



Zone A<sub>1</sub>: Liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading  
 Zone A<sub>2</sub>: Liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry  
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening  
 Zone C: Liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

**CPT basic interpretation plots****Input parameters and analysis data**

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m


Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight:  
 Transition detect. applied: N/A  
 $K_0$  applied: No  
 Clay like behavior applied: Yes  
 Limit depth applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth: N/A

**SBT legend**

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

### Liquefaction analysis overall plots



#### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight:  
 Transition detect. applied: No  
 $K_0$  applied: Yes  
 Clay like behavior applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth applied: No  
 Limit depth: N/A

#### F.S. color scheme

- █ Almost certain it will liquefy
- █ Very likely to liquefy
- █ Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- █ Unlike to liquefy
- █ Almost certain it will not liquefy

#### LPI color scheme

- █ Very high risk
- █ High risk
- █ Low risk

## LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




**Project title :**

**CPTU9 file : 038016P302CPTU302.xls**

**Location :**


### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude $M_w$ :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	No	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	$K_0$ applied:	Yes		



Zone A<sub>1</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading  
Zone A<sub>2</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry  
Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening  
Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

## CPT basic interpretation plots



## **Input parameters and analysis data**

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (insitu): 1.00 m


Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
Average results interval: 3  
Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
Use fill: No  
Fill height: N/A

Fill weight:	N/A
Transition detect. applied:	No
$K_a$ applied:	Yes
Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Limit depth applied:	No
Limit depth:	N/A

## **SBT legend**

<span style="color: red;">█</span>	1. Sensitive fine grained	<span style="background-color: teal;">█</span>	4. Clayey silt to silty	<span style="background-color: orange;">█</span>	7. Gravely sand to sand
<span style="color: brown;">█</span>	2. Organic material	<span style="background-color: lightgreen;">█</span>	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	<span style="background-color: grey;">█</span>	8. Very stiff sand to
<span style="color: blue;">█</span>	3. Clay to silty clay	<span style="background-color: tan;">█</span>	6. Clean sand to silty sand	<span style="background-color: lightgrey;">█</span>	9. Very stiff fine grained

### Liquefaction analysis overall plots



#### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (earthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight: N/A  
 Transition detect. applied: No  
 $K_0$  applied: Yes  
 Clay like behavior applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth applied: No  
 Limit depth: N/A

#### F.S. color scheme

- █ Almost certain it will liquefy
- █ Very likely to liquefy
- █ Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- █ Unlike to liquefy
- █ Almost certain it will not liquefy

#### LPI color scheme

- █ Very high risk
- █ High risk
- █ Low risk

## LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS REPORT




**Project title :**

**CPTU10 file : 038016P303CPTU303.xls**


**Location :**

### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (in-situ):	1.00 m	Use fill:	No	Clay like behavior applied:	Sands only
Fines correction method:	B&I (2014)	G.W.T. (earthq.):	1.00 m	Fill height:	N/A	Limit depth applied:	No
Points to test:	Based on Ic value	Average results interval:	3	Fill weight:	N/A	Limit depth:	N/A
Earthquake magnitude $M_w$ :	6.14	Ic cut-off value:	2.60	Trans. detect. applied:	No	MSF method:	Method based
Peak ground acceleration:	0.19	Unit weight calculation:	Based on SBT	$K_0$ applied:	Yes		



Zone A<sub>1</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction likely depending on size and duration of cyclic loading  
 Zone A<sub>2</sub>: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss likely depending on loading and ground geometry  
 Zone B: Liquefaction and post-earthquake strength loss unlikely, check cyclic softening  
 Zone C: Cyclic liquefaction and strength loss possible depending on soil plasticity, brittleness/sensitivity, strain to peak undrained strength and ground geometry

**CPT basic interpretation plots****Input parameters and analysis data**

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m


Depth to GWT (erthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight:  
 Transition detect. applied: N/A  
 $K_0$  applied: No  
 Clay like behavior applied: Yes  
 Limit depth applied: Sands only  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

**SBT legend**

1. Sensitive fine grained	4. Clayey silt to silty	7. Gravely sand to sand
2. Organic material	5. Silty sand to sandy silt	8. Very stiff sand to
3. Clay to silty clay	6. Clean sand to silty sand	9. Very stiff fine grained

### Liquefaction analysis overall plots



#### Input parameters and analysis data

Analysis method: B&I (2014)  
 Fines correction method: B&I (2014)  
 Points to test: Based on Ic value  
 Earthquake magnitude  $M_w$ : 6.14  
 Peak ground acceleration: 0.19  
 Depth to water table (in situ): 1.00 m

Depth to GWT (earthq.): 1.00 m  
 Average results interval: 3  
 Ic cut-off value: 2.60  
 Unit weight calculation: Based on SBT  
 Use fill: No  
 Fill height: N/A

Fill weight: N/A  
 Transition detect. applied: No  
 $K_0$  applied: Yes  
 Clay like behavior applied: Sands only  
 Limit depth applied: No  
 Limit depth: N/A

#### F.S. color scheme

- Almost certain it will liquefy
- Very likely to liquefy
- Liquefaction and no liq. are equally likely
- Unlike to liquefy
- Almost certain it will not liquefy

#### LPI color scheme

- Very high risk
- High risk
- Low risk